HIN 2 8 2009

VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Construction & Materian Construction Constructio

	Date 2/23/09
Contract ID 090123-301	Job No. J3I0775
County Montgomery	Original Bid Cost \$559,158.73
Contractor Lehman Construction LL	C By Adam Carroll
Designed By MoDot	Phone 573-796-8101
VECP# <u>09-43</u> (to be completed l	by C.O.) VECP or VECP/PDU
times for 4.5 days each. Lehman C shorten up the project and the time	a distribuit de la company de la company La company de la company de La company de la company d
maintenance and operations.	change(s) will have on other department costs, such as my other cost to the department with this change.
3. Prediction of any effects the proposed maintenance and operations. I do not predict that there will be an	change(s) will have on other department costs, such as
 3. Prediction of any effects the proposed maintenance and operations. I do not predict that there will be an 4. Anticipated date for submittal of details 	change(s) will have on other department costs, such as ny other cost to the department with this change. iled change(s) of items required by Section 104.6 of the
 3. Prediction of any effects the proposed maintenance and operations. I do not predict that there will be an 4. Anticipated date for submittal of details 	change(s) will have on other department costs, such as my other cost to the department with this change.
 3. Prediction of any effects the proposed maintenance and operations. I do not predict that there will be an 4. Anticipated date for submittal of detail Specifications. 	change(s) will have on other department costs, such as by other cost to the department with this change. iled change(s) of items required by Section 104.6 of the March 2, 2009 (date) obtain maximum cost reduction, noting the effect of
 3. Prediction of any effects the proposed maintenance and operations. I do not predict that there will be an an	change(s) will have on other department costs, such as by other cost to the department with this change. iled change(s) of items required by Section 104.6 of the March 2, 2009 (date) obtain maximum cost reduction, noting the effect of

N/A (date and/or dates)

Ad	dition	al Con	nments:
Δu	uiuvii	ai Cui	unicus.

** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT **

Comments: I think this proposal is a great option fo rthe contractor to try. This was held until if the contractor could feasibly perform this option. This would be a great impact to would reduced the amount of closure time on the project, reducing the risk of potent workzone. I recommend that we move forward with this VE proposal. Submitted By Resident Engineer	o the traffic on I-70 as it
A	
Comments: Agree with PE, this proposal was discussed and again March of 2009. However due to delays on project due to weather contractor was assure, due to delays, that he could staff up project equipment to actually due this proposal, this VE was across officially it only makes serve that it we could reduce plumbe of closures the	reed to back and fact that with enough men/
equipment to actually due this purposed this UE was acres officially	Sent through. But
if only makes serve that if we could reduce stumbe of closures the	- this would benefit
- Approvel	evertone.
Approval Recommended Mary Podersar	5/8/09
, , ,	1000
Rejection District Engineer	Date
Recommended	·
Comments:	,
•	
Approval Recommended N/A	
Rejection Federal Highway Administration	Date
Recommended Required for FHWA Full Oversight Projects	Date
Recommended Required for Fifty A Fun Oversight Fojects	
Comments: We agree with districts recommen	dation D.G.
7 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
χ	
Approval Quich Quich Quick	6-30-09
Rejection State Construction, and Materials Engineer	Date
	Date



Value Engineering Breakdown of savings for J3I0775 (090123-301). The following are the savings for doing phase 2A & 2B at one time and not having the cost of stage 2B striping, barrier relocation and impact attenuator relocation.

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	Unit Measure	<u>Un</u>	<u>it Price</u>		Quanity	<u>Total</u>
140	Impact Attenuator Relocation	Each	\$ 1,	00.00	\$	1.00	\$ 1,000.00
220	Barrier Relocation	LF	\$	8.50	\$	766.00	\$ 6,511.00
240	4 in White Marking Tape	LF	\$	1.30	\$	3,562.00	\$:4,630.60
250	4 in Yellow Marking Tape	LF	\$	1.30	\$	3,930.00	\$ 5,109.00
280	Pavement Marking Removal	LF	\$	0.40	\$	8,642.00	\$ 3,456.80
					To	tal	\$ 20,707.40

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TYPE OF WORK

(Check one that applies)

- □ Bridge/Structure/Footings
- Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- □ TCP/MOT
- > Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- □ Grading/MSE Walls
- □ Signal/Lighting/ITS
- □ Misc. -

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

This VE involves a change in the staging to reduce the time that interstate traffic is disrupted.						
				.p.ou.		
	*					
		•				

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database	e. If
there are special instructions, make note of them here.	